

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media consolidation.

Now a wealthy
individual with an
agenda has the
unmatched power to
influence an
election completely
outside the normal
campaign rules.

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest. But when
large companies
control the
airwaves, we get
more of what's good
for the interests of
those in control and
less of what the
public wants and
needs.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. They
show why it is so
very dangerous to
allow the
uncontrolled
consolidation of
media ownership to
continue. Thank you.